arXiv:1603.06887v2 [math.CO] 27 Mar 2016

THE FIRST TIME KE IS BROKEN UP

ADI JARDEN

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. The First Cardinality without the KE Property 2
3. New Characterizations 5
References 6

March 29, 2016

Abstract. A relevant collection is a collection, F, of sets, such that each set in F has the same cardinality, $\alpha(F)$. A Konig Egervary (KE) collection is a relevant collection F, that satisfies $|\bigcup F|+|\bigcap F| = 2\alpha(F)$. An hke (hereditary KE) collection is a relevant collection such that all of his non-empty subsets are KE collections. The motivation for the study of hke collections comes from results of Jarden, Levit and Mandrescu [4] and [2]. In [1], Jarden characterize hke collections.

Let Γ be a relevant collection such that $\Gamma - \{S\}$ is an hke collection, for every $S \in \Gamma$. We study the difference between $|\bigcap \Gamma_1 - \bigcup \Gamma_2|$ and $|\bigcap \Gamma_2 - \bigcup \Gamma_1|$, where $\{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2\}$ is a partition of Γ. We get new characterizations for an hke collection and for a KE graph.

1. Introduction

We first present three definitions relating to collections and then discuss their connections to the study of graphs.

Definition 1.1. A *relevant collection* is a finite collection of finite sets such that the number of elements in each set in F is a constant positive integer, denoted $\alpha(F)$. When F is clear from the context, we omit it, writting α.

While in [2], a KE collection is defined with respect to a graph, the definition here and in [1] has no an explicit connection to a graph. The two different definitions are contradict.

Definition 1.2. Let F be a relevant collection. F is said to be a *Konig Egervary collection* (KE collection in short), if the following equality holds:

$$|\bigcup F| + |\bigcap F| = 2\alpha.$$

Definition 1.3. An *hereditary Konig Egervary collection* (hke collection in short) is a collection of sets, F, such that for some positive integer, α, the equality

$$|\bigcup \Gamma| + |\bigcap \Gamma| = 2\alpha$$

holds for every non-empty sub-collection, Γ, of F. We call this α, $\alpha(F)$. We may omit F, where it is clear from the context.

For example, every relevant collection of cardinality 2 at most is an hke collection.

We now discuss graphs. A set S of vertices in a graph is independent if no two vertices from S are adjacent. A maximum independent set is an independent set of maximal cardinality. $\Omega(G)$ denotes the set of maximum independent sets in G. A matching is a set of pairwise non-incident edges. The graph G is known to be a Konig-Egervary (KE in short) graph if $\alpha(G)+\mu(G)=|V(G)|$, where $\alpha(G)$ denotes the size of a maximum independent set and $\mu(G)$ is the cardinality of a maximum matching.

As a motivation for the study of hke collections, we restate two theorems:

Theorem 1.4. [2, Theorem 2.6] *G is a KE graph if and only if for some hke collection of maximum independent sets, there is a matching*

$$M : V(G) - \bigcup \Gamma \to \bigcap \Gamma.$$

Theorem 1.5. [1, Theorem 6.3] *A collection F of sets is an hke collection if and only if F is a KE collection and $F \subseteq \Omega(G)$ for some graph G.*

Definition 1.6. For every collection Γ of sets, we define

$$e(\Gamma) = |\bigcup \Gamma| + |\bigcap \Gamma|.$$

A relevant collection Γ is a KE collection if and only if $e(\Gamma) = 2\alpha$. Theorem 1.8 shows the importance of the function e. In order to state Theorem 1.8, we present the following definition:

Definition 1.7. Let Γ, Γ' be two collections of sets. We set $\Gamma' \lhd \Gamma$ if $\bigcup \Gamma' \subseteq \bigcup \Gamma$ and $\bigcap \Gamma \subseteq \bigcap \Gamma'$.

Obviously, if $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$ then $\Gamma' \lhd \Gamma$.

Theorem 1.8. [4, Theorem 2.6] *Let G be a graph. Let $\Gamma \subseteq \Omega(G)$ and let Γ' be a collection of independent sets. If $\Gamma' \lhd \Gamma$ then $e(\Gamma') \leq e(\Gamma)$.*

2. The First Cardinality without the KE Property

By Theorems 2.1 and 2.6, the KE property is broken up in a unified form.

Theorem 2.1. *Let Γ be a collection of sets. Assume that there is a positive integer β such that for every $S \in \Gamma$, we have $e(\Gamma - \{S\}) = \beta$. Then there is an integer m such that for every $S \in \Gamma$, the following equality holds:*

$$|S - \bigcup(\Gamma - \{S\})| - |\bigcap(\Gamma - \{S\}) - S| = m.$$

If, in addition, for some (equivalently, for each) $S \in \Gamma$, the collection $\Gamma - \{S\}$ is a KE collection then $m = 0$ if and only if Γ is a KE collection.

Proof. For every $S \in \Gamma$, we have

$$e(\Gamma) - e(\Gamma - \{S\}) = |S - \bigcup(\Gamma - \{S\})| - |\bigcap(\Gamma - \{S\}) - S|.$$

By assumption, $e(\Gamma) - e(\Gamma - \{S\})$ does not depend on S. So there exists m as needed.

Assume that for some $S \in \Gamma$, the collection $\Gamma - \{S\}$ is a KE collection. Then $\beta = 2\alpha$ and

$$e(\Gamma) - 2\alpha = e(\Gamma) - e(\Gamma - \{S\}) = m.$$

Therefore $m = 0$ if and only if $e(\Gamma) = 2\alpha$. ⊣

Example 2.2.

$$\Gamma = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}\}.$$

$\alpha(\Gamma) = 1$. $e(\Gamma) = 3$ and for every $S \in \Gamma$, $e(\Gamma - \{S\}) = 2$. So $m = 1$.

By the following example, m can be a negative number.

Example 2.3. $\Gamma = \{S_1, S_2, S_3\}$, where $S_1 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $S_2 = \{4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$ and $S_3 = \{3, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$. For this non-KE collection, $\alpha = 5$, $k = 3$ and $m = -1$, where k and m are the parameters that appear in Proposition 2.1.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $\Gamma = \{A, B, C\}$ be a relevant collection of three different sets. Then Γ is an hke collection if and only if*

$$|A - B - C| = |B \cap C - A|.$$

Proof. For every $S \in \Gamma$, the relevant collection $\Gamma - \{S\}$ is an hke collection, because its cardinality is two. So by Theorem 2.1, there is an m such that for every $S \in \Gamma$, the following equality holds:

$$|S - \bigcup(\Gamma - \{S\})| - |\bigcap(\Gamma - \{S\}) - S| = m.$$

Moreover $m = 0$ if and only if Γ is an hke collection. But the equality that appears in the corollary holds if and only if $m = 0$. ⊣

While in Theorem 2.1, the main issue is

$$|S - \bigcup(\Gamma - \{S\})| - |\bigcap(\Gamma - \{S\}) - S|,$$

in Theorem 2.6 (below), we concentrate on

$$|\bigcap \Gamma_1 - \bigcup \Gamma_2| - |\bigcap \Gamma_2 - \bigcup \Gamma_1|,$$

where $\{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2\}$ is a partition of Γ into two non-empty subcollections.

Recall,

Theorem 2.5. [1, Theorem 2.13] *Let Γ be a collection of sets. Then Γ is an hke collection if and only if the equality*

$$|\bigcap \Gamma_1 - \bigcup \Gamma_2| = |\bigcap \Gamma_2 - \bigcup \Gamma_1|$$

holds for every partition $\{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2\}$ of Γ into two non-empty subcollections.

If we substitute $\Gamma_1 = \{S\}$ and $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma - \{S\}$ in Theorem 2.6, then we get a result that is known by Theorem 2.1. In a sense, Theorem 2.6 is more general than Theorem 2.1, because Theorem 2.6 deals also with Γ_1 that includes more than one set. But in Theorem 2.6, we have an extra assumption: $\Gamma - \{S\}$ is an hke collection.

Theorem 2.6. *Let Γ be a collection of sets such that $\Gamma - \{S\}$ is an hke collection, for each $S \in \Gamma$. Then there exists an integer m such that the equality*

$$|\bigcap \Gamma_1 - \bigcup \Gamma_2| - |\bigcap \Gamma_2 - \bigcup \Gamma_1| = (-1)^{|\Gamma_1|+1} m$$

holds for every partition $\{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2\}$ of Γ into two non-empty subcollections.

Proof. The assumption of Theorem 2.1 holds for $\beta = 2\alpha$. So we can find an integer m satisfying the conclusion of Theorem 2.1. We prove the needed equality by induction on $l = |\Gamma_1|$. For $l = 1$, it holds by Theorem 2.1. Assume that $1 < l < |\Gamma|$ and $\{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2\}$ is a partition of Γ such that $|\Gamma_1| = l$. Fix $S \in \Gamma_1$. Let $\Gamma_1' = \Gamma_1 - \{S\}$ and $\Gamma_2' = \Gamma_2 \cup \{S\}$. By set theoretical considerations, we get

$$(1)|\bigcap \Gamma_1 - \bigcup \Gamma_2| = |\bigcap \Gamma_1' - \bigcup \Gamma_2| - |\bigcap \Gamma_1' - \bigcup \Gamma_2'|$$

and

$$(2)|\bigcap \Gamma_2 - \bigcup \Gamma_1| = |\bigcap \Gamma_2 - \bigcup \Gamma_1'| - |\bigcap \Gamma_2' - \bigcup \Gamma_1'|.$$

By assumption, $\Gamma - \{S\}$ is an hke collection. $\{\Gamma_1', \Gamma_2\}$ is a partition of $\Gamma - \{S\}$ into two non-empty subcollections. So by Theorem 2.5, we have:

$$(3)|\bigcap \Gamma_1' - \bigcup \Gamma_2| = |\bigcap \Gamma_2 - \bigcup \Gamma_1'|.$$

Since $|\Gamma_1'| = l - 1$ and $\{\Gamma_1', \Gamma_2'\}$ is a partition of Γ into two non-empty subcollections, by the induction hypothesis, the following equality holds:

$$(4)|\bigcap \Gamma_1' - \bigcup \Gamma_2'| - |\bigcap \Gamma_2' - \bigcup \Gamma_1'| = (-1)^{|\Gamma_1|}m$$

By the subtraction of Equality (2) from Equality (1), by Equality (3) and by Equality (4), we get:

$$|\bigcap \Gamma_1 - \bigcup \Gamma_2| - |\bigcap \Gamma_2 - \bigcup \Gamma_1| = (-1)^{|\Gamma_1|+1}m.$$

⊣

Corollary 2.7. *Let Γ be a collection of sets such that for each $S \in \Gamma$, $\Gamma - \{S\}$ is an hke collection. If $|\Gamma|$ is an even then Γ is an hke collection.*

Proof. Let m be the integer that exists by Theorem 2.6. Since $|\Gamma|$ is even, we can find a partition $\{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2\}$ of Γ such that $|\Gamma_1| = |\Gamma_2|$. By Theorem 2.6, the following equality holds:

$$|\bigcap \Gamma_1 - \bigcup \Gamma_2| - |\bigcap \Gamma_2 - \bigcup \Gamma_1| = (-1)^{|\Gamma_1|+1}m.$$

But if we replace between Γ_1 and Γ_2 then we get the following equality:

$$|\bigcap \Gamma_2 - \bigcup \Gamma_1| - |\bigcap \Gamma_1 - \bigcup \Gamma_2| = (-1)^{|\Gamma_2|+1}m = (-1)^{|\Gamma_1|+1}m.$$

By the last two equalities, we get $x - y = y - x$, or equivalently, $x - y = 0$, where $x = |\bigcap \Gamma_1 - \bigcup \Gamma_2|$ and $y = |\bigcap \Gamma_2 - \bigcup \Gamma_1|$.

Hence, $m = 0$. So Γ is an hke collection. ⊣

In Theorem 2.6, m may be negative. But if we assume, in addition, that Γ is included in $\Omega(G)$ for some graph G then by the following corollary, m cannot be negative.

Corollary 2.8. *Let G be a graph Let Γ be a subset of $\Omega(G)$ such that $\Gamma - \{S\}$ is an hke collection, for each $S \in \Gamma$. Then there exists an integer $0 \leq m$ such that the equality*

$$|\bigcap \Gamma_1 - \bigcup \Gamma_2| - |\bigcap \Gamma_2 - \bigcup \Gamma_1| = (-1)^{|\Gamma_1|+1}m$$

holds for every partition $\{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2\}$ of Γ into two non-empty subcollections.

Proof. By Theorem 2.6 there exists an integer m satisfying the equality. We have to prove that m cannot be negative. Fix $S \in \Gamma$. By Theorem 1.8,

$$e(\Gamma - \{S\}) \leq e(\Gamma).$$

Hence,

$$0 \leq e(\Gamma) - e(\Gamma - \{S\}) = m.$$

⊣

3. New Characterizations

Theorem 3.1 presents a new characterization of an hke collection. Corollary 3.3 is a version of Theorem 3.1 for graphs.

While in Theorem 2.5, in order to prove that F is an hke collection, we have to consider every partition into non-empty subcollections, here we consider much less partitions.

Theorem 3.1. *Let F be a collection of sets. Then F is an hke collection if and only if for every subcollection Γ of F, there exists a partition $\{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2\}$ of Γ into two non-empty subcollections, such that the following equality holds:*

$$|\bigcap \Gamma_1 - \bigcup \Gamma_2| = |\bigcap \Gamma_2 - \bigcup \Gamma_1|.$$

Proof. If F is an hke collection then the conclusion holds by Theorem 2.5.

Conversely, assume that F is not an hke collection. So there is a non-KE subcollection Γ of F of minimal cardinality. So $\Gamma - \{S\}$ is an hke collection, for every $S \in \Gamma$. By Theorem 2.6, there is an integer m, such that

$$|\bigcap \Gamma_1 - \bigcup \Gamma_2| - |\bigcap \Gamma_2 - \bigcup \Gamma_1| = (-1)^{|\Gamma_1|+1} m$$

holds for every partition $\{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2\}$ of Γ into two non-empty subcollections.

$m \neq 0$, because otherwise by Theorem 2.5, Γ is an hke collection, contradicting the choice of Γ. So there is *no* partition $\{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2\}$ of Γ into two non-empty subcollections, such that the following equality holds:

$$|\bigcap \Gamma_1 - \bigcup \Gamma_2| = |\bigcap \Gamma_2 - \bigcup \Gamma_1|.$$

⊣

By the following example, it is possible that the graph induced by three specific maximum independent sets will be a KE graph, while the graph induced by another three maximum independent sets will not be KE.

Example 3.2. Let

$$V(G) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\} \text{ and}$$

$$E(G) = \{(14), (15), (16), (17), (24), (36), (46), (47), (57), (67)\}.$$

$\alpha(G) = 3$ and $\mu(G) = 3$. So G is not a KE graph. Define $S_1 =: \{1, 2, 3\}$, $S_2 =: \{3, 4, 5\}$, $S_3 =: \{2, 5, 6\}$ and $S_4 =: \{2, 3, 7\}$. S_1, S_2, S_3 and S_4 are maximum independent sets. $G_1 =: G[\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}] = G[S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3]$ is KE, but $G_2 =: G[\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7\}] = G[S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_4]$ is not KE: both G_1 and G_2 have six elements and $\alpha = 3$. But while $\mu_{G_1} = 3$, $\mu_{G_2} = 2$ (the vertex 3 is isolated in G_2).

Corollary 3.3. *G is a KE graph if and only if for some $F \subseteq \Omega(G)$, there is a matching from $V(G) - \bigcup F$ into $\bigcap F$ and for every subcollection Γ of F there is a partition $\{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2\}$ of Γ into two non-empty sub-collctions such that the following equality holds:*

$$|\bigcap \Gamma_1 - \bigcup \Gamma_2| = |\bigcap \Gamma_2 - \bigcup \Gamma_1|.$$

Proof. Assume that G is a KE graph. By Theorem 1.4, there is an hke subcollection F of $\Omega(G)$ and there is a matching as needed. So by Theorem 3.1, for some partitions $\{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2\}$ of F into two non-empty subcollections, the following equality holds:

$$|\bigcap \Gamma_1 - \bigcup \Gamma_2| = |\bigcap \Gamma_2 - \bigcup \Gamma_1|.$$

Conversely, assume that some $F \subseteq \Omega(G)$ satisfies the condition in the corollary. By Theorem 3.1, F is an hke collection. So by Theorem 1.4, G is a KE graph. $\dashv$

Problem 3.4. Characterize the collections Γ of sets such that $\Gamma = \Omega(G)$, for some graph G.

Connections between the current paper, [3], [5] and [6] should be studied.

References

1. Adi Jarden, *Hereditary konig egervary collections*, A work in progress.
2. Adi Jarden, Vadim E Levit, and Eugen Mandrescu, *Two more characterization of konig egervary graphs*, Submitted.
3. _____, *Critical and maximum independent sets of a graph*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1506.00255 (2015).
4. _____, *Monotonic properties of collections of maximum independent sets of a graph*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1506.00249 (2015).
5. Vadim E Levit and Eugen Mandrescu, *On konig-egervary collections of maximum critical independent sets*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1512.01994 (2015).
6. Taylor Short, *On some conjectures concerning critical independent sets of a graph*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1509.05057 (2015).

E-mail address, Adi Jarden: jardena@ariel.ac.il

Department of Mathematics., Ariel University, Ariel, Israel